



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/011,857	11/05/2001	Debendra Das Sharma	10017812 - 1	5264

7590	11/23/2007
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY	
Intellectual Property Administration	
P.O. Box 272400	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400	

EXAMINER	
DANG, KHANH	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2111	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10011857	11/5/01	SHARMA, DEBENDRA DAS	10017812 - 1

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

Khanh Dang

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2111

20071029

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See Attached

**Khanh Dang
Primary Examiner**

The reply brief filed 9/27/2007 has been entered and considered.

The following is the Examiner's remark:


1. Regarding the 131 Affidavit, the Supplemental Examiner's Answer (7/25/2007) does not contain any new argument or any change in content. As a matter of fact, the 7/25/2007 is identical to the 3/24/2006 Examiner's Answer except in section (9) "Ground of Rejection."

In the 3/24/2006 Examiner's Answer, under section (9) "Ground of Rejection": the Examiner referred to the 7/21/2005 Final Rejection for a detailed 102(e) Rejection.

In the 7/25/2007, under section (9) "Ground of Rejection": the Examiner copied the detailed 102(e) Rejection into the 7/21/2005 Final Rejection to section (9) in response to "Order Remanding Appeal to Examiner" (7/6/2007) from the Board of Appeals (copy of the "order" was also provided to Applicant).

2. Applicant's representative's convoluted arguments and irrelevant statement of qualification simply cannot be used as a substitution of facts contained in the one page exhibit filed 8/10/2004. It is still the Examiner's position that the one page exhibit filed 8/10/2004 does not meet the requirements set forth in MPEP 715.07, and 37 CFR 131.

The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.



Khanh Dang
Primary Examiner